

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Page 1 of the specification has been amended to replace the docket numbers with updated serial numbers.

This communication is responsive to an Office Action mailed 16 March 2005. In the Office Action, claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagel et al. The Examiner had indicated the allowability of claim 4 if re-written in independent form for which indication the Applicant is grateful. Accordingly, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include those features of claim 4 not recited in the prior art. Applicant therefore considers that claim is patentably distinct from the prior art. Applicant further asserts that the amendments made to claim 1 traverse the double patenting rejection in respect of 10/760,214.

In response to the claim objections, all claims that were objected to (claims 19-47) have been cancelled.

By these amendments, the Applicant considers that the application has been placed in condition for allowance. Further consideration of the application is therefore respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,


Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK



TOBIN ALLEN KING



JANETTE FAYE LEE

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: ' kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762